

## Remarks

Claim 8 has been amended to include an opening extending from the product conveying surface to the bottom surface of the module which is not disclosed in Lapeyre. Accordingly, the rejection is no longer supported by the art and should be withdrawn.


Claim 9 has been rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of its base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 10-14 have been amended to depend from Claim 9 which has been indicated as allowable. Accordingly, because these claims now depend from an allowable claim it is believed that they are also allowable.

Claims 15 and 16 have been canceled, without prejudice.

It is submitted that all of the elected claims are now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By:   
David L. Principe  
Reg. No. 39,336

Dated: February 17, 2004

HODGSON RUSS LLP  
One M&T Plaza, Suite 2000  
Buffalo, New York 14203-2391  
Telephone: (716) 848-1262